	11				
1 2 3 4 5	SOLOMON E. GRESEN [SBN: 164783] STEVEN M. CISCHKE [SBN: 125612] LAW OFFICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN 15910 VENTURA BOULEVARD, SUITE 1610 ENCINO, CALIFORNIA 91436 TELEPHONE: (818) 815-2727 FACSIMILE: (818) 815-2737  Attorneys for Plaintiff, ANGELICA CASTILLO	MAR 11 2013  MAR 11 2013  Clarke Executive Officer/Clerk			
6	•	As a			
7					
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT				
10					
11	ANGELICA CASTILLO, an individual	CASE NO.: BC502597			
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:			
13	vs.	) 1. WRONGFUL TERMINATION ) [GOVERNMENT CODE 12940 (a)]			
14	SHARON STONE, an individual, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,	) ) 2. WRONGFUL RETALIATION			
15	Defendants	GOVERNMENT CODE 12940 (h)]			
16 17		) 3. FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCOMMODATION [GOVERNMENT CODES 12940 (m)]			
18		) 4. FAILURE TO ENGAGE IN GOOD			
19		FAITH INTERACTIVE PROCESS [GOVERNMENT CODES 12940 (n)]			
20		) )			
21	The same of the sa	) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
22		œ			
23	Plaintiff, Angelica Castillo, alleges as follows:				
24	//				
25	//				
26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
27		s.			
28	//				
	1				
1	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES				

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 1. At all relevant times herein mentioned Plaintiff, Angelica Castillo ("Castillo" or "Plaintiff"), an individual, was, and remains, a resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, and the acts complained of herein by her took place in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. At all relevant times herein mentioned, Plaintiff was employed as a Housekeeper by Defendant Sharon Stone and DOES 1-25, inclusive. Plaintiff Castillo is of Guatemalan descent.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that Defendant Sharon Stone (hereinafter referred to as "Stone"), an individual, was, and remains a resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and based thereon alleges that, at all times material hereto, Defendants Stone and DOES 1-25 employed more than five employees, and are employers as defined within the meaning of California *Government Code* §12926.
- 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Defendants named herein as DOES 1-25 are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said DOE Defendants by said fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of said DOE Defendants are negligently or otherwise responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to, and negligently or otherwise caused injuries and damages proximately thereby to Plaintiff. Plaintiff will pray for leave to amend this Complaint to substitute the specific names of said DOES 1-25 and to specify their negligent acts as they become known by her.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed, believes and thereon alleges that at all relevant times mentioned herein, all Defendants, and each of them, were the officers, directors, brokers, agents, contractors, advisors, servants, partners, joint venturers, employees, and/or co-conspirators of their Co-Defendants and were acting within the scope of their authority as such agents, contractors, advisors, servants, partners, joint venturers, and employees with the permission and consent of their Co-Defendants.

Each Defendant, as aforesaid, was acting as a principal, and was involved in the selection, consultation, training and/or hiring of each and every other participant in the relevant events herein.

#### **ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION**

- 5. In or around July 2010, Plaintiff began working for Defendant Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them as a housekeeper, whose job duties included but were not limited to cleaning and organizing Defendants residence. Plaintiff held this position until she was terminated on or about October 1, 2012. At all times herein mentioned, Plaintiff worked in a professional manner, always performing her job duties in a competent and caring way.
- 6. On or about June 19, 2012, Plaintiff went grocery shopping for Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them, as part of her regular duties. While loading the groceries, Plaintiff injured her back causing her extreme and severe physical pain.
- 7. On or about June 19, 2012, Plaintiff notified Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them, of her injury.
- 8. On or about September 28, 2012, Plaintiff was placed on modified duty at work by her physician, and was instructed to undertake a brief period of bed rest. On or about the same day, Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them, denied Plaintiff's request for a brief period of bed rest, and told her that she would have to come in to work that day.
- 9. Between September 28, 2012 and October 1, 2012, Plaintiff came in to work at the request of Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them. Despite physician's orders to avoid lifting heavy objects, Plaintiff was required to perform her regular duties including but not limited to grocery shopping, lifting and moving heavy items as part of cleaning the residence. During this time, other employees were present at the residence and could have assisted with the lifting and moving, but were not allowed to do so.
- 10. On or about October 1, 2012, while Plaintiff was still in severe pain, Stone repeatedly yelled at Plaintiff for performing her duties more slowly, and called her "crazy" and "stupid." When Plaintiff again explained to Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them that she was put on modified activity, Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them refused to acknowledge her injury

or even look at Plaintiff's doctor's report. Instead, Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment that same day, October 1, 2012. Plaintiff is informed, believes and based thereon alleges, that Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment in retaliation for seeking medical leave and/or an accommodation, based on her injuries and disability/medical condition.

Department of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), No. 5110-24310-R, alleging, among other things, discrimination and retaliation. On or about the same day, Plaintiff received a Notice of Case Closure/Right to Sue letter from the DFEH. Therefore, Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedies prior to filing the instant Complaint pursuant to California Government Code Section 12965. True and correct copies of Plaintiff's DFEH Complaint and Right To Sue letters are attached, collectively marked as EXHIBIT A, and incorporated herein by this reference as though fully set forth at length.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION WRONGFUL TERMINATION (Violation of Government Code Section 12940(a) and Public Policy)

- 12. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through 11 above.
- While working for Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them, and as more fully described hereinabove, Plaintiff was subject to conduct which gives rise to liability under the Fair Employment and Housing Act and, in addition, under public policy. Specifically, Plaintiff's employment was terminated based on her disability/medical condition.
- 14. <u>Government Code</u> section 12940(a) embodies fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies of the State of California. Defendants Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them violated the fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies embodied in <u>Government Code</u> section 12940(a) by discriminating against Plaintiff in compensation or in terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, among other things, based on disability/medical condition.
- 15. As a direct and proximate result of Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of their violations of <u>Government Code</u> section 12940(a) and public policy, as alleged above, Plaintiff has been damaged in a sum according to proof with interest thereon at the legal rate allowed, in an amount

in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court for unlimited actions. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, as described above, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer extreme and severe physical and mental anguish and emotional distress, and has suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proved at trial, but no less than the jurisdictional minimum of this court for unlimited cases.

- 16. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the outrageous conduct of the Defendants, and each of them, as more fully described and alleged above, was committed with fraud, oppression and malice, and with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that Defendants, and each of them, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of every other Defendant and their employees, as described above. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants, in a sum according to proof at the time of trial.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of their violations of <u>Government Code</u> section 12940 (a), as more fully described hereinabove, Plaintiff has been compelled to retain the services of counsel and has incurred, and will continue to incur, legal fees and costs. Plaintiff therefore requests that attorneys' fees and expert witness fees be awarded pursuant to <u>Government Code</u> section 12965.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION WRONGFUL RETALIATION (Violation of Government Code Section 12940(h) and Public Policy)

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 11 and 13 through 17 above.
- 19. While working for Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them, and as more fully described hereinabove, Plaintiff was subject to conduct which gives rise to liability under the Fair Employment and Housing Act and, in addition, under public policy. Specifically, Plaintiff was subjected to retaliation because she requested a protected medical leave and/or exercised her right to request modified work activity pursuant to her doctor's order.

28 //

- 20. <u>Government Code</u> section 12940 (h) embodies fundamental, substantial, and well established public policies of the State of California. Defendants, and each of them, violated the fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies embodied in <u>Government Code</u> section 12940 (h) by retaliating against Plaintiff because she requested a protected medical leave and/or exercised her right to request modified work activity pursuant to her doctor's order.
- As a direct and proximate result of Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of their violations of Government Code section 12940(h) and public policy, as alleged above, Plaintiff has been damaged in a sum according to proof with interest thereon at the legal rate allowed, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court for unlimited actions. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, as described above, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer extreme and severe physical and mental anguish and emotional distress, and has suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proved at trial, but no less than the jurisdictional minimum of this court for unlimited cases.
- 22. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the outrageous conduct of the Defendants, and each of them, as more fully described and alleged above, was committed with fraud, oppression and malice, and with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that Defendants, and each of them, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of every other Defendant and their employees, as described above. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants, in a sum according to proof at the time of trial.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of their violations of <u>Government Code</u> section 12940 (h), as more fully described hereinabove, Plaintiff has been compelled to retain the services of counsel and has incurred, and will continue to incur, legal fees and costs. Plaintiff therefore requests that attorneys' fees and expert witness fees be awarded pursuant to <u>Government Code</u> section 12965.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCOMMODATION (Violation of Government Code Section 12940(m) and Public Policy)

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 11, 13 through 17 and 19 through 23, above.
- 25. <u>Government Code</u> section 12940(m) embodies fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies of the State of California. Defendants violated the fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies embodied in <u>Government Code</u> section 12940(m) by failing and refusing to make reasonable accommodation for Plaintiff on account of her disability/medical condition.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of their violations of Government Code section 12940(m) and public policy, as alleged above, Plaintiff has been damaged in a sum according to proof with interest thereon at the legal rate allowed, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court for unlimited actions. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, as described above, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer extreme and severe physical and mental anguish and emotional distress, and has suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proved at trial, but no less than the jurisdictional minimum of this court for unlimited cases.
- 27. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the outrageous conduct of the Defendants, and each of them, as more fully described and alleged above, was committed with fraud, oppression and malice, and with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that Defendants, and each of them, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of every other Defendant and their employees, as described above. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants, in a sum according to proof at the time of trial.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of their violations of Government Code section 12940 (m), as more fully described hereinabove, Plaintiff has been

compelled to retain the services of counsel and has incurred, and will continue to incur, legal fees and costs. Plaintiff therefore requests that attorneys' fees and expert witness fees be awarded pursuant to <u>Government Code</u> section 12965.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FAILURE TO ENGAGE IN GOOD FAITH INTERACTIVE PROCESS (Violation of Government Code Section 12940(n) and Public Policy)

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 11, 13 through 17, 19 through 23 and 25 through 28, above.
- 30. Government Code section 12940(n) embodies fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies of the State of California. Defendants violated the fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies embodied in Government Code section 12940(n) by failing and refusing to engage in a timely, good faith, interactive process with Plaintiff to determine effective reasonable accommodations for conditions related to Plaintiff's disability/medical condition.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of their violations of Government Code section 12940(n) and public policy, as alleged above, Plaintiff has been damaged in a sum according to proof with interest thereon at the legal rate allowed, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court for unlimited actions. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, as described above, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer extreme and severe physical and mental anguish and emotional distress, and has suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proved at trial, but no less than the jurisdictional minimum of this court for unlimited cases.
- 32. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the outrageous conduct of the Defendants, and each of them, as more fully described and alleged above, was committed with fraud, oppression and malice, and with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that Defendants, and each of them, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of every other Defendant and their employees, as described above. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is

### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Plaintiff hereby demands her constitutional right to a trial by jury. Dated: March 11, 2013 LAW OFFICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN By: Solomon/E. Gresen Attorneys for Plaintiff, Angelica Castillo **DEMAND FOR ARBITRATION** In the event that there is an enforceable arbitration agreement between Plaintiff, and the Defendant Sharon Stone, Plaintiff hereby demands arbitration under said agreement, in compliance with Armendriz v. Foundation Health Psychcare Services (2000) 24 Cal.4th 83, 127, and its progeny. LAW OFFICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN Dated: March 11, 2013 By: Solomon E. Gresen Attorneys for Plaintiff, Angelica Castillo

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES



#### DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

DIRECTOR PHYLLIS W. CHENG

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 | Elk Grove | CA | 95758 (800) 884-1684 | Videophone (916) 226-5285 | TDD (800) 700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov | email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov

Oct 10, 2012
ANGELICA CASTILLO
C/O RHEUBAN and GRESEN 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1610
Encino, Ca 91436

RE: 51110-24310-R - CASTILLO ANGELICA - Right To Sue

Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue

#### Dear ANGELICA CASTILLO:

This letter informs you that the above-referenced complaint that was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective Oct 10, 2012 because an immediate Right to Sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also your Right to Sue notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

To obtain a federal Right to Sue notice, you must visit the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH Notice of Case Closure or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

DFEH does not retain case files beyond three years after a complaint is filed, unless the case is still open at the end of the three-year period.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing

cc: Sharon Stone, Agent for Service for Sharon Stone



### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING EMPLOYMENT

COMPLAINT OF DISCRIMINATION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT

DFEH INQUIRY NUMBER:

51110-24310-R

COMPLAINANT NAME:

ANGELICA CASTILLO

TELEPHONE NUMBER:

(818) 815-2727

ADDRESS:

C/O RHEUBAN and GRESEN 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1610

CITY/STATE/ZIP: Encino, Ca 91436

NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, PERSON, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY, APPRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE, OR STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME:

RESPONDENT NAME:

AGENT FOR SERVICE NAME:

TELEPHONE NUMBER:

Sharon Stone

Sharon Stone

ADDRESS (AGENT FOR SERVICE):

3126 Dawnridge Drive

CITY/STATE/ZIP: Beverly Hills, CA 90210

NO. OF EMPLOYEES/MEMBERS:

DATE MOST RECENT DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE:

TYPE OF EMPLOYER:

6

Oct 01, 2012

Private Employer

CO-RESPONDENT(S):

NAME

**ADDRESS** 

I wish to pursue this matter in court. I hereby request that the Department of Fair Employment and Housing provide a right to sue. I understand that if I want a federal right to sue notice, I must visit the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of the DFEH "Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue," or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

I have not been coerced into making this request, nor do I make it based on fear of retaliation if I do not do so. I understand it is the Department of Fair Employment and Housing's policy to not process or reopen a complaint once the complaint has been closed on the basis of "Immediate Right to Sue."

By submitting this complaint, I am declaring under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this complaint is true and correct, except matters stated on my information and belief, and I declare that those matters I believe to be true.

Dated October 11, 2012 At Encino

Verified By:Solomon E. Gresen, Attorney for Complainant

DFEH-300-030 (07/12)
DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING

DATE FILED: Oct 10, 2012 Modified: Oct 10, 2012 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Page 1/2



## CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING EMPLOYMENT

COMPLAINT OF DISCRIMINATION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT

I ALLEGE THAT I EXPERIENCED: Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation

ON OR BEFORE: Oct 01, 2012

BECAUSE OF MY ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED:

Ancestry, Disability - including HIV and AIDS, Engagement in Protected Activity, Medical Condition - including Cancer, National Origin - including language use restrictions, Other Seeking to file Workers Compensation claim.

AS A RESULT, I WAS:

Denied a good faith interactive process, Denied a work environment free of discrimination and/or retaliation, Denied family care or medical leave, Denied reasonable accommodation,

Terminated, Other

Harassed, Retaliated against and Discriminated against

STATE WHAT YOU BELIEVE TO BE THE REASON(S) FOR DISCRIMINATION:

Claimant experienced harassment, discrimination and retaliation, among other things, because of her ethnicity, because of her medical condition/disability, and because she engaged in protected conduct, among other things.

CASE NUMBER

Castillo v. Stone

### CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? X YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 7-10 ☐ HOURS/ X DAYS

Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

**Step 2:** Check **one** Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.

#### Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage). Location where cause of action arose.
- Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
   Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
   Location where petitioner resides.
   Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
   Location where one or more of the parties reside.
   Location of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
ا ي	Auto (22)	□ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
ro	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
	Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Death Ion	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
migna Par	Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
300	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	<ul> <li>□ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)</li> <li>□ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.)</li> <li>□ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress</li> <li>□ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death</li> </ul>	1:, 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

LexisNexis® Automated California County Forms

Auto

Other Personal Injury/ Property

SHORT TITLE:

Castillo v. Stone

CASE NUMBER

Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort Employment Contract Real Property **Unlawful Detainer** 

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	<b>B</b> Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
Civil Rights (08)	□ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
Defamation (13)	□ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Fraud (16)	□ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Professional Negligence (25)	□ A6017 Legal Malpractice □ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	□ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	□ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1.2.3.
Other Employment (15)	□ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case □ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	<ul> <li>□ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)</li> <li>□ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)</li> <li>□ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)</li> <li>□ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)</li> </ul>	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	□ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	□ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	□ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	□ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	□ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	□ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	□ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

LexisNexis® Automated California County Forms

SHORT TITLE:

Castillo v. Stone

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	□ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
ew	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	<ul> <li>□ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus</li> <li>□ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter</li> <li>□ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review</li> </ul>	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	□ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
uo	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
itigati	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
nplex L	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	□ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ly Con	Securities Litigation (28)	□ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Provi	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	□ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	<ul> <li>□ A6141 Sister State Judgment</li> <li>□ A6160 Abstract of Judgment</li> <li>□ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)</li> <li>□ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)</li> <li>□ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax</li> <li>□ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case</li> </ul>	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8.
o s	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	<ul> <li>□ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only</li> <li>□ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)</li> <li>□ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)</li> <li>□ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)</li> </ul>	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Petítions	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	□ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	□ A6121 Civil Harassment □ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Contest □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8.
		□ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law □ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 4., 6.

LexisNexis® Automated California County Forms

SHORT TITLE:		CASE NUMBER	
	Castillo v. Stone		

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case.			3126 Dawnridge Drive
CITY:	STATE:	Z P CODE:	
Beverly Hills	CA	90210	
and correct and that the above-entitle	erjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true of for assignment to the <u>Stanley-Mosk</u> courthouse in the nia, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local		
Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].  Dated: March 11, 2013			
Daleu.			(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

### PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.